IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2191 OF 2007

Likhit C. Lodha .... Petitioner

versus

Unique Securities & anr. ..... Respondent.

Shri S.A.Sawant for the petitioner
Shri Vishwajeet S. Kapse for respondent no.1.

CORAM; A.P. DESHPANDE, J.
DATED; 11TH DECEMBER, 2007

P.C.;

1. The learned counsel for the petiitoner seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to file revision under section 397 of Cr.P.C. before the Sessions court. Permission granted. Allowed to be withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. Writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

2. At this stage the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been prosecuting this petition before the High Court and thus the time spent for bonafidely prosecuting the petition need to be excluded while computing the period of limitation for filing revision. It is obvious that if appropriate application is moved seeking condonation of delay, then the time spent by

the petitioner for prosecuting the writ petition before this court would be excluded. At this stage it is submitted that the case is now fixed before the trial court on 4-1-2008 and hence the petitioner be exempted from appearing before the trial court on 4-1-2008. The limited prayer for exemption is granted. The petitioner to move the revisional court within two weeks from today.

....